13.

REMEDIAL SITE ASSESSMENT DECISION EPA - REGION 5

SITE NAME: Sere	ral Electric Co. Rav. EPAID	# <u>0HD059061317</u>
ALIAS SITE NAME(S):		
CITY:	COUNTY:	state: <i>Off</i>
REPORT DATED:	2000 REPORT TYPE: Memo	
REPORT PREPARER:	EPA- SF/RCRA SITE	E TYPE: GAOX IG
DISCUSSION/RATIONALE: _	"Lead Confirmed"- Site	cleterra 1 to
	RCRA is correct.	
		2-4-1
	Special Initiative Flagae	MITOREA.
	see attached.	······································
Report Reviewed/ Site Decision Made by:	Date:	11/15/00
	1	

Region 5 Revision of EPA Form # 9100-3,11/00 - Special - IG Audit

US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5

ChicEPA

State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Northeast District Office

2110 E. Aurora Road Twinsburg, Ohio 44087-1969

TELE (330) 425-9171 FAX (330) 487-0769

Bob Taft, Governor Christopher Jones, Director

January 21, 2000

RE:

NO FURTHER REMEDIAL ACTION PLANNED FOR GE LIGHTING, RAVENNA PLANT, PORTAGE COUNTY, OHD059061317

Ms. Jeanne Griffin
Ohio Early Action Project Manager, SE-4J
Office of Superfund
U.S. EPA
77 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, IL 60604

Dear Ms. Griffin:

Ohio EPA, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response, has completed a file review for the General Electric Lighting - Ravenna Plant (GE-RAV), located at 6800 North Chestnut Street, Ravenna, Ohio, as identified in the Inspector General's RCRA Deferral Audit of sites referred to as "RCRA Handlers" not subject to corrective action.

GE-RAV has been inspected as a Large Quantity Generator, pursuant to hazardous waste regulations. The Division of Hazardous Waste Management (DHWM) has also requested actions on spills which occurred at the site. DHWM has requested action pursuant to a spill to a sump system, which flows to a lined retention pond. This action is still on-going per the DHWM files.

The Division of Emergency and Remedial Response (DERR) has no files concerning this site nor any ongoing actions. Additionally, there is no information regarding site-wide contamination not addressed by DHWM at the site. However, if additional information becomes available indicating CERCLA-related concerns have been identified at the site, Ohio EPA will re-evaluate the site for future actions.

Finally, this site is listed on the U.S. EPA's NCAPs database as a low priority for consideration under RCRA Corrective Actions. Therefore, Ohio EPA recommends that this site be deferred to RCRA for further consideration.

This should fulfill the 2000 Site Investigation commitment for this site.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at 330-963-1160.

Sincerely, Nancy Zikmanis

Nancy Zikmanis, CHMM

Project Coordinator

Division of Emergency and Remedial Response

NZ/kss

cc:

Laura Fay, Ohio EPA Project Officer/CO

Sheila Abraham, DERR/NEDO Steve Love, DERR/NEDO

Superfund Site Assessment Data Management


EPA - Office of Emergency and Remedial Response

Reporting RCRA Deferral Activities

July 2000

What are RCRA Deferral Sites?

A March 1999 report by EPA's Office of the Inspector General (OIG) identified 2,941 Superfund sites that have been deferred to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)



program. The OIG report determined that 842 sites are being appropriately addressed under RCRA, and 2.099 need further attention.

EPA has developed two measures to track and evaluate these 2,099 sites in WasteLAN. First,


EPA Headquarters will flag the sites using the existing "RCRA Deferral Audit" Special Initiative, and Regions will be able to enter one of the following three new Special Initiatives: RCRA Deferral—Lead Confirmed; RCRA Deferral—New Decision; or RCRA Deferral—Further Assessment. The second measure adds a new WasteLAN action, "Site Reassessment", that will track reassessment activities at sites.

ow Will Tracking RCRA Deferral Sites Benefit EPA?

Use of the one existing and three new Special Initiatives and the new WasteLAN action, "Site Reassessment," will allow EPA to:

- Readily identify the OIG RCRA deferral sites and accurately report their current status;
- Effectively track reassessment activities, recording dates and fiscal year accomplishments; and
- Receive proper credit for reassessment work performed in the Regions.

Additionally, these new initiatives allow the Regions to track the status of RCRA deferral sites that were identified in the 2,099 sites needing further attention. The new "Site Reassessment" action does not replace current assessment actions; it serves as a supplement in instances when some assessment is needed to evaluate new information on a site, yet a full assessment action is not warranted under the Superfund program.



ow Will Regional Staff Maintain RCRA Deferral Activities?

Regions will be responsible for entering the new WasteLAN Special Initiatives. The new Regional Special Initiatives are:

- RCRA Deferral—Lead Confirmed: Indicates that the RCRA-Deferral decision was accurate; i.e., there is no change to the current RCRA deferral status.
- RCRA Deferral—New Decision: Indicates that EPA is correcting or changing the currently-listed decision from "Deferred to RCRA" to another indicator.
- RCRA Deferral—Further Superfund
 Assessment: Indicates that EPA needs to conduct further assessment to update the status. (This initiative should be used in conjunction with the new Site Reassessment action.)

Regions will also be responsible for recording Site Reassessment activities using the new WasteLAN action.



ho Can I Contact for More Information?

Jennifer Griesert Data Wastel AN Techline

Data Sponsor

(703) 603-8888 (703) 247-4711 griesert.jennifer@epa.gov techline@marasconewton.com

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 5**

DATE:

November 20, 2000

SUBJECT: Lead Confirmation for Sites Identified in the FY'1999 OIG Audit of Sites Deferred

to RCRA

FROM: Joseph Dufficy

Brownfield/Early Action Section

Superfund Division

Gerald Phillips

Corrective Action Man

Waste, Pesticides & Toxics Division

TO: SITE FILES

This memo is to memorialize the lead decisions for those sites that the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) identified in the March 1999 report, entitled "Superfund Sites Deferred to RCRA." The OIG audit recommended that Superfund reevaluate all deferred sites not in the RCRA corrective action workload to determine the best legal authority to address the sites, and any response actions necessary in order to improve communication between the programs. The OIG also recommended that the two programs should reach agreement on which program will take lead responsibility for each of the sites by the end of calendar year 2000.

The OIG lists for Region 5 included (493 sites) 'Sites Subject to Corrective Action', and (184 sites) 'RCRA Handlers' that may not be subject to corrective action. These two lists (attached) have been reviewed by both programs and are identified with one of the three Special Initiative flags. For those sites that have been scored under the RCRA NCAPS model, they are noted as RCRA Deferral - Lead Confirmed. For those sites to be addressed under Superfund, they are identified on the attached lists as RCRA Deferral - New Decision or RCRA Deferral - Further Assessment. All sites requiring reassessments by Superfund will have findings provided to RCRA for their information.

Attachments (2) \times

cc: State Site Assessment Contacts **EAPMs**

* FOR ATTACHMENTS PLEASE REFER to the following two SITE FILES:

AKZO COATINGS INC. 11 DOGG 390553

AG COMMUNICATION SYS. 14 DOGS 070545